Document 299

Filed 08/28/2008

Page 1 of 35

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law before all of the courts of the State of
 California. I am a partner in the law firm of Bergeson, LLP, counsel of record for plaintiff Verigy
 US, Inc. ("Verigy") in the above-captioned action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth
 in this declaration, and, if called to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto. I submit
 this declaration in support of Verigy's motion for permission to disclose "HIGHLY
 CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" documents to Verigy's experts under the
 Stipulated Protective Order.

 2. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A is a true and
 - 2. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy expert Garry Gillette's resume, sent to Defendants on August 15, 2008.
 - 3. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of expert Burnell West's resume, sent to Defendants on August 15, 2008.
 - 4. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of a news release entitled "Credence Reports Results for Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2006" downloaded from Credence's website at http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=68634&p=irol-newsArticle&ID=861167&highlight visited August 28, 2008.
 - 5. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of a memorandum Mr. Hale and I signed reflecting the status of meet and confer efforts as of August 28, 2008, the date of the preparation of this motion. Per those meet and confer discussions, which I directly participated in, the only remaining objection Defendants have to disclosure of the Highly Confidential documents is that Messrs. Gillette and West used to work for Credence, a competitor of Verigy. As part of these discussions, Verigy agreed to waive any objection to any expert Defendants may propose on the grounds that the proposed expert previously worked for a competitor of Verigy.
 - 6. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the Stipulated Protective Order, Docket Number 28 in this action. The Stipulated Protective Order in this case is the standard form found on the Court's website. Verigy proposed a

Document 299

Filed 08/28/2008

Page 3 of 35

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

EXHIBIT A

Garry C. Gillette

1642 Tiber Court San Jose, CA 95138 Home: 408-223-2243 Cell: 408-209-8402

acgillette@ix.netcom.com

- -Experienced system level analog instrumentation circuit designer, system architect, and departmental manager for development of fifteen major IC test systems
- -Understands analog circuit function, design, and application to achieve high performance, low power, and high precision from DC to 10GHz.
- -Circuit and System designer experienced in the application of analog IC's and circuits
- -Technologist experienced in the design of analog IC's, ASIC's, and process technologies
- -Experienced system designer and architect that understands how analog circuits and interfaces are designed and applied in products

Professional Experience

1/07 - 4/08 System Architect Consultant

Low cost high pincount single board IC test system. Invented high performance timing calibration technique requiring no relays

7/06 - 1/07 SolFocus - Vice-President of Engineering

In a startup mode-Recruited staff of 15 scientists and experienced engineers. Developed design and schedule for a reliable and reproducible concentrated photo voltaic renewable energy system. First round financing was \$30M, which led to 2nd round of \$100M.

1/93 - 7/06 Credence Systems Memory SBU - Vice-President of Engineering

- -Architected and managed development of three generations of Kalos single board IC Test Systems (K1,K2,K3), more than \$100M sales
- -Managed Engineering Department. Retained design responsibility for design of custom analog SOC ASIC's, digital architecture, high performance cable interfaces to handling equipment.
- -10 patented inventions
- -Third generation Kalos (K3) designed with 24,576 I/O pins for full wafer test and highly parallel package test using high performance interface

4/74 - 1/93 Teradyne STD - Division Hardware Engineering Manager

-Manager of department and system architect for seven J9XX series Logic and Memory Test products (J941, J983, J967, J953, J937, J991, J994)

-Intrapreneurial start-up in Logic Test-Architected J941 (Teradyne's first clock rate Logic Test system), brought to market with greater than \$200M sales. Invented method of using DRAM to increase pattern memory 20X. (*Teradyne-The First Forty Years*, Fred Van Veen, 2001, pp 187, 207-210) -Intrapreneurial start-up for Memory Test-Responsible for architecture and hardware design of five J38X Series DRAM Memory Test Systems (J384, J385, J386, J386A, J387A)

-Architect and developer of ECL micro-programmable memory pattern generator, analog timing and pin electronics-used in all J38X memory test systems.

Education

Stanford University - BSEE (Mathematics-Physics option, transferred from MIT) University of California Irvine - MS Engineering (optics and modern control theory) University of California Irvine – additional coursework in solid state for MS Physics Short courses in finance, management, supervision, IC technology, IC reliability, Total Quality Management, and Hoshin planning methodolog

Publications

Garry C. Gillette, Keynote Speech, First Annual TTTC Gigabit Test workshop, IEEE ITC, 2004

Garry C. Gillette, "A Single Board Test System: Changing the Test Paradigm, IEEE ITC, 1995.

Garry C. Gillette, "Analog Extensions of Digital Time and Frequency Generation" and "The Process of Analog Design", *Analog Circuit Design-Art, Science, and Personalities*, Jim Williams, editor, 1991.

Garry C. Gillette, Comments on "A Simple Technique for Analog Tuning of Frequency Synthesizers", IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, June, 1990.

Patents

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 3,582,810, "Digiphase Frequency Synthesizer System"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 4,451,918, "Test Signal Reloader"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 4,659,155, "Backplane Daughter Board Connector"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 5,905,403, "Multiple output programmable reference voltage source"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 5,952,821, "Load Circuit for integrated circuit tester"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 5,955,890, "Backmatch resistor structure for an integrated circuit tester"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 6,005,408, "System for Compensating for Temperature Induced Delay Variation in an Integrated Circuit"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 6,008,683, "Switchable load for testing a semiconductor integrated circuit device"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 6,011,403, "Circuit arrangement for measuring a leakage current utilizing circuit devices"

Garry C. Gillette, U.S. Patent 6,028,438, "Current Sense Circuit

Garry C. Gillette, et al, U.S. Patent 6,028,439, "Modular circuit tester with distributed synchronization and control

Garry C. Gillette, et al, U.S. Patent 6,073,263, "Parallel processing pattern generation system for an integrated circuit tester

Litigation Experience

Teradyne vs Megatest Corp.

Infringement of my U.S. Patent 4,451,918, "Test Signal Reloader"

Worked with litigation team led by David Feigenbaum of Fish & Richardson in Boston Taught the invention, supplied answers to interrogatories, served as technical expert and deposed witness when examined by Megatest counsel

Teradyne prevailed and Megatest paid costs and license fee for all shipments Teradyne later acquired Megatest.

Deposed witness for Credence Corp. in litigation brought against an employee in my department who violated an employment agreement. I later was a witness in a bankruptcy court case by the same employee when he attempted to avoid paying the judgment in the first case. He refused to negotiate a settlement and lost all stock options and personal assets.

2

EXHIBIT A

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

3	I, GARRY GILLETTE, of SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
4	declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated
5	Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of
6	California on August 29, 2007 in the case of Verigy US, Inc. v. Romi Omar Mayder, Wesley
7	Mayder, Silicon Test Systems, Inc., Silicon Test Solutions, LLC, USDC, N. District of Calif., San
8	Jose Div., Case Number C07 04330 RMW (HRL). I agree to comply with and to be bound by all
9	the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so
10	comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly
11	promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this
12	Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions
13	of this Order.
14	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the
15	Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective
16	Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
17	I hereby appointof
18	BERGESON, LLP as my California agent for service
1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
19	of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of this
19 20	of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.
20	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 AUGUSI, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
20 21	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 AUGUSI, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
20 21 22	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 AUGUSI, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
20 21 22 23	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 Augusi, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA Printed name: GARRY C. GILLETTE
2021222324	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 Augusi, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
202122232425	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 Augusi, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
20212223242526	Stipulated Protective Order. Date: 5 AUGUSI, 2008 City and State where sworn and signed: SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

EXHIBIT B

West CV.txt

Professional Resume - Dr. Burnell G. West

Current status: retired and enjoying life, with consulting on the side.

Employment History:

Current Consulting Activities:

January 2007 - present: Consultant for Test Technology Collaboration

Consortium (T2C2)

Responsible to maintain proprietary data submitted by multiple

companies

for benchmarking purposes, with consolidated sanitized reports

distributed to

all participants.
October 2007 - present: Member, A.I.S.I. Technical Advisory Board Responsible for reviewing and advising on technology developments at A.I.S.I.

June 2004 - August 2006: Vice President, Chief Architect, Credence Systems Corporation

Key activities: organized annual Technical Forum for top 100 Credence

technical staff,

including managing the technical program for the Forum, finalizing the invitation list,

and inviting appropriate guest speakers. Key Presentations: Tutorial and Invited Lecture at IPFA 2006 Symposium

Tutorial: Fundamentals of Integrated Circuit Test for Physical Failure **Analysis**

Invited Lecture: Advanced Test Methodology / Roadmap and Strategies for

Semiconductor Principal technical contribution: Digital RF test module architecture 14 patent applications filed, of which 9 remain pending.

2003 - May, 2004: Technical Advisor, NPTest LLC.
Until NPTest LLC was merged into Credence Systems Corporation
Principal contribution: High-resolution Time-to-digital Converter (three patent applications filed) Key publication: Highly Reconfigurable ATE for Rapidly Evolving Test

Requirements (ETS 2004)

2001 - 2003: Technical Advisor, Schlumberger Semiconductor Solutions
Until the creation and separation of NPTest LLC from Schlumberger
Principal contribution: developed the key architecture of the SAPPHIRE open test platform Key publication: Toward an Open Architecture ATE (European Test Workshop, 2002)

1997 - 2001: Engineering Advisor, Schlumberger Technologies, ATE Division Principal contribution: Successive generations of the Sequencer per Pin test system. Key publication: Toward One-picosecond Edge Placement Accuracy (ITC 2000)

Received IEEE Computer Society Golden Key award.

1989 - 1997: Senior Staff Engineer, Schlumberger Technologies, ATE Division Principal contribution: Development of the Sequencer per Pin architecture for ATE, incorporating the

Recirculating Remainder (or "flying adder") digital timing methodology.

Key publication: Sequencer Per Pin Test System Architecture (ITC 1990) Program Chair, ITC 1996; Member of ITC Program Committee and ITC Steering Committee

West CV.txt

1985 - 1988: Senior Staff Engineer, Sentry Test Systems
Until it was renamed Schlumberger Technologies, ATE Division

Principal contribution: Development of the Recirculating Remainder test architecture concept, using video

DAC's for time-set-switching on the fly. This involved development of the ITS9000FX Timing Generator IC.

The TGIC was a high-speed bipolar ASIC that was said to be the most complex developed in that

technology up to that time.

1982 - 1985: Fairchild Test Systems

Until it was renamed Sentry Test Systems

Principal contribution: sub-picosecond resolution timing calibration module for Sentry S50 test system.

The key circuit is in use today for calibration and timing measurement in ATE; it was used in every test system delivered by Fairchild and its successors (listed above)

after the S50 test system.

Key publication: Attainable Accuracy of Autocalibrating Automatic Test Systems (ITC 1983)

1979 - 1982: Autek Systems

Principal contribution: Small, low-cost memory test system architecture and design.

1978 - 1979: DataTest, Inc.

Principal contribution: Digital test system for Westinghouse delivered into the NATO SHOP-3 depot field maintenance facilities.

1972 - 1978: E-H Research, Inc.

Principal contribution: ATE Platform called IMS (Integrated Measurement System) for Hughes Aircraft Tow Missile program. This included a high-precision time-to-digital converter based on work done at Oak Ridge during the Manhattan project (early 1940's).

1971 - 1972: President and Founder, Digimetrics, Inc.

Principal contribution: key concepts developed for the nascent logic analyzer market

(which helped launch Biomation into that business) Digimetrics was acquired by E-H Research, Inc. in October, 1972

1965 - 1971: Senior Scientist, EG&G, Inc.

34 Patents Issued:

7,222,280 7,212,941 No 7,171,598 7,143,326 7,113,886 7,093,177 7,035,755 7,017,091 6,940,271 6,940,271 6,937,006 6,928,387 6,748,564 6,622,107 6,501,706	educed pin count test method and apparatus iagnostic process for automated test equipment on-deterministic protocol packet testing ester system having a multipurpose memory est system algorithmic program generators ircuit and method for distributing events in an event stream ow-jitter clock for test system ircuit testing with ring-connected test instrument modules est system formatters reconfigurable for multiple data rates in electronics interface circuit in electronics interface circuit ircuit and method for distributing events in an event stream can stream sequencing for testing integrated circuits dge placement and jitter measurement for electronic elements ime-to-digital converter easuring signals in a tester system
6,285,963 M	easuring signals in a tester system Page 2

	West CV.txt
6,128,754	Tester having event generation circuit for acquiring waveform by supplying strobe events for waveform acquisition rather than using
6 001 404	strobe events specified by the test program
6,081,484	Measuring signals in a tester system
6,025,736	Fast reprogrammable logic with active links between cells
6,014,764	Providing test vectors with pattern chaining definition
6,002,268	FPGA with conductors segmented by active repeaters
5,668,495	BiCMOS reprogrammable logic
5,570,059	BiCMOS multiplexers and crossbar switches
5,477,139	Event sequencer for automatic test equipment
5,475,624	Test generation by environment emulation
5,430,400	Driver circuits for IC tester
5,406,133	BICMOS reprogrammable logic
5,397,943	Clock distribution method and apparatus for high speed circuits
.,,	with low skew using counterpropagating true and complement
	re-generated clock signals with predetermined ramp shapes
5,355,035	High speed BICMOS switches and multiplexers
5,212,443	Event sequencer for automatic test equipment
4,849,702	Test period generator for automatic test equipment
4,550,405	Deskew circuit for automatic test equipment
4,497,998	Temperature stabilized stop-restart oscillator
4,491,930	remperature stabilized stop-restart oscillator

Several papers published

Other Professional Activities

Member of Program Committee of ITC, 1988 to present time
Member of Steering Committee of ITC, 1994 to 2001
Member of Program Committee of VTS, 2000 to present time
Member of Operating Committee of VTS, 2003 and 2004
Member of Program Committee of several TTTC workshops, including BAST, SDD, FATE, TRP

Guest Lecturer, University of New Mexico graduate class EECE595 in 1998, 2000, 2002, and 2004

IEEE Electron Devices Society Distinghished Lecturer, 2006 - present

Education:

(Physics) MIT 1960 Thesis: Bremsstrahlung

PhD (Physics) University of Colorado 1965

Thesis: Absorption Spectrum of Oxygen Molecule in 55-65 GHz Region

Filed 08/29/2007 Page 14 of 14 Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW Document 28 EXHIBIT A ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND 2 Durnell G. West [print or type full name], of Half Moon Boy 3 [print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and 4 understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for 5 Verigy US, Inc. v. Mayder, et al., August 29, 2007 the Northern District of California on Kraite in the case of hingort formal name and the C07-04330 RMW (HRL) case and the number and muticles assigned to its bix thex sound. I agree to comply with and to be 7 8 bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I 9 solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to 10 this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the 11 12 provisions of this Order. I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the .13 Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective 14 Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action. 15 [print or type full name] of 16 I hereby appoint \cup [print or type full address and telephone number] 17 as my California agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings 18 19 related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order. 20 21 City and State where sworn and signed: [printed name] 22 Printed name: Signature: [signature] 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT C

PRODUCTS

SERVICE & SUPPORT

SALES

NEWS & EVENTS

COMPANY

Lavestors

Home > Company > Investor Relations > Investor Resources > News Release

Print Page ② Send to Friend

Overview

Leadership

Company Milestones

Investor Relations

Overview

Financial Information

Stock Information

Fundamental Data

Annual Reports SEC Filings

Quarterly Results

Investor Resources

Events & Presentations

News

Contact Information

E-mail Alerts

Information Request

FAQ

Analyst Coverage

Ownership Summary

Corporate Governance

Governance Highlights Executive

Management

Board of Directors Code of Conduct

Credence Partners

Industry Alliances

Careers

Contact

News Release

View printer-friendly version

<< Back

Credence Reports Results for Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2006

MILPITAS, Calif., May 25 /PRNewswire-FirstCall/ -- Credence Systems Corporation (Nasdaq: CMOS), a leading provider of test solutions from design-to-production for the worldwide semiconductor industry, today reported financial results for the second quarter of fiscal 2006 ended April 30, 2006.

Net sales for the second quarter were \$124.8 million, up 5.6 percent from prior quarter net sales of \$118.2 million and up 22.4 percent from the second quarter of fiscal year 2005 revenue of \$101.9 million. Net orders for the second quarter of fiscal 2006 were approximately \$109.8 million, corresponding to a book to bill ratio of 0.88. Net loss for the quarter was \$14.2 million or \$0.14 per share, versus a net loss of \$4.0 million or \$0.04 per share in the prior quarter. Net loss from a year-ago second quarter was \$19.5 million or \$0.21 net loss per share. The net loss this quarter included charges of \$19.4 million consisting of an inventory write down of approximately \$11.8 million, amortization of intangibles, restructuring charges and stock compensation under FAS 123(R). These financial results are presented on a GAAP basis.

"Increased demand for our consumer mixed-signal and wireless products allowed us to meet the revenue guidance that we provided last quarter," said Dave Ranhoff, president and chief executive officer of Credence Systems Corporation. "Continuing weakness in our memory business, however, required us to revalue our Kalos 2 inventory which adversely impacted our financial results. Additionally, the recent decision by a major IDM to significantly decrease capital spending caused us to reassess the viability of our next- generation memory product. As a result, although we will be supporting our Kalos customer base, we have stopped the development of our next-generation memory product.

"We are redirecting our resources on opportunities identified in our higher return consumer market segment," said John Batty, chief financial officer of Credence Systems Corporation. "We believe these actions will allow us to concentrate on those market segments that will improve the Company's financial performance and stability long-term. By placing more resources on our digital and mixed-signal business the Company can accelerate development programs more effectively to compete in the emerging consumer-mobile market.

Third Quarter Fiscal 2006 Outlook

Net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 are expected to be approximately \$125 million to \$129 million, with a loss per share on a GAAP basis in the range of \$0.09 to \$0.11. This guidance reflects an estimated charge in the range of approximately \$12 to \$14 million associated with the shift of resources away from our next generation memory development product including severance, write-off of capital assets and contract terminations. This guidance includes no taxation on domestic earnings due to the effect of tax loss carry forwards from prior years.

Conference Call/Webcast

Credence will hold a conference call to discuss these results today, Thursday, May 25, 2005, at 5.00 pm ET. The call will be simulcast via the Credence web site at www.credence.com under the "Investor Relations -- Financial Information -- Webcasts" section. A replay of the call will be available via phone and web site through June 8, 2006. The replay number in the U.S. and Canada is (888) 286-8010. The replay number outside the U.S. and Canada is (617) 801-6888. The passcode is 68879701. A replay will also be available on the Credence web site www.credence.com under the Investor Relations section.

About Credence

Credence Systems Corporation is a leading provider of debug, characterization and ATE solutions for the global semiconductor industry. With a commitment to applying innovative technology to lower the cost-of-test, Credence delivers competitive cost and performance advantages to integrated device manufacturers (IDMs), wafer foundries, outsource assembly and test (OSAT) suppliers and fabless chip companies worldwide. A global, ISO 9001- certified company with a presence in 20 countries, Credence is headquartered in Milpitas, California. More information is available at http://www.credence.com.

Forward-Looking Statements

This release contains statements that are forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements regarding focusing on higher return consumer product opportunities which will better position Credence to improve its financial performance, placing resources on our digital and mixed signal business to more effectively compete in the emerging consumer-mobile market and expected sales, expected net loss and expected charges associated with shifting of resources away from the next generation memory development product for the third quarter of fiscal 2006. These forward-looking statements involve important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Such important factors involve risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, the introduction of new product features including new instruments, the completion, delivery and acceptance by customers of such new product features, the need to focus on different aspects of our business to improve stockholder value, unanticipated challenges in assessing business conditions and the overall market, unanticipated difficulties in implementing improvements to our business model, cyclicality and downturns in the semiconductor industry, rapid technological change in the automatic test equipment market, the timing of new technology, product introductions, customer requirements relating to the customization of products, the risk of a loss or reduction of orders from one or more customers among which our business is concentrated, fluctuation in customer demand, timing and volume of orders and shipments, competition and pricing pressures, reliability and quality issues, our ability to complete the development and commercialization of our new products, product mix, overhead absorption, continued dependence on "turns" orders to achieve revenue objectives, intellectual property issues, the risk of early obsolescence, our ability to control and reduce expenses (including the ability to identify and successfully institute additional cost-saving measures) and our need to achieve and maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting. Reference is made to the discussion of risk factors detailed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our reports on Form 10-K and 10-Q. All projections in this release are based on limited information currently available to us, which is subject to change. Although any such projections and the factors influencing them will likely change, we will not necessarily update the information, since we are only to provide guidance at certain points during the year. Actual events or results could differ materially and no reader of this release should assume later in the quarter that the information provided today is

NOTE: Credence is a registered trademark, and Credence Systems is a trademark, of Credence Systems Corporation. Other trademarks that may be mentioned in this release are the intellectual property of their respective owners.

Company Contact:
Judy A.E. Dale
Vice President, Marketing Communications and Investor Relations
Credence Systems Corporation
Phone: 408-635-4309
FAX: 408-635-4986
E-mail: judy_dale@credence.com

CREDENCE SYSTEMS CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	E	e Months nded 1 30, 2005	Prior Quarter Ended January 31, 2006	En	Months ded 1 30, 2005
Net sales	\$124,767	\$ 101,944	\$ 118,168	\$242,935	\$195,827
Cost of goods sold - on net sales(1) Cost of goods sold - inventory	68,928	58,190	63,799	132,727	117,478
write-offs(2)	11,829	609		11,829	
Gross margin	44,010	43,145	54,369	98,379	72,259
Operating expenses:					
Research and development(3)	24,123	22,728	24,148	48,271	45,056
Selling, general & administrative(4)	27,520	31,846	27,914	55,434	63,201
Amortization of purchased intangible assets and deferred					12.605
compensation	4,117	6,338	4,254	8,3/1	13,035
Restructuring charges	1,060	440	314	1,374	1,791
Total operating expenses	56,820	61,352	56,630	113,450	123,083
Operating loss	(12,810)	(18,207)	(2,261)	(15,071)	(50,824)
Interest and other income, net(5)	(318)	1,909	(154)	(472)	1,676
Loss before income taxes	(13,128)	(16,298)	(2,415)	(15,543)	(49,148)
Income taxes	1,103	3,175	1,631	2,734	6,627
Net loss	(\$14,231)	(\$ 19,473)	(\$ 4,046)	(\$18,277)	(\$ 55,775)
Net loss per share Basic Diluted		(\$ 0.21) (\$ 0.21)	(\$ 0.04) (\$ 0.04)		(\$ 0.62) (\$ 0.62)
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts Basic Diluted	99,836 99,886	91,392 91,392	99,492 99,492	99,686 99,686	

⁽¹⁾ Includes stock-based compensation under FAS 123R of \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2006 and six months ended April 30, 2006, respectively. Also, includes amortization expenses resulted from the write-up to fair value of the inventory, spares and fixed assets acquired as part of our acquisition of NPTest of \$0.7 million, \$1.9 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005 and January 31, 2006, respectively; and \$1.3 million and \$3.4 million for the six months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In the three and six months ended April 30, 2005, cost of goods sold — net of sales includes \$0.7 and \$1.1 million of service materials charges, relocation, travel, merger related retention bonuses and accelerated depreciation of assets.

- (2) Represents inventory charges and liabilities related to underperforming product lines and decisions to stop significant future investments in these areas.
- (3) Includes stock-based compensation under FAS 123R of \$0.6 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for the three months ended April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2006 and six months ended April 30, 2006, respectively.
- (4) Includes stock-based compensation under FAS 123R of \$1.0 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2006 and six months ended April 30, 2006, respectively. In addition, it includes amortization of the fixed assets write-up to fair value, resulting from NPTest acquisition of approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005 and January 31, 2006, respectively; and approximately \$0.2 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended April 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In addition, the three and six months ended April 30, 2005 includes \$3.9 million and \$6.2 million, respectively of integration expenses related to NPTest.
- (5) Includes fair value adjustment to an acquired liability of \$3.0 million and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended April 30, 2005, respectively.

CREDENCE SYSTEMS CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands)

ASSETS	April 30, 2006 (unaudited)	Prior Quart January 31 2006 (unaudited)	, October 31 2005 (1)
A ALC CHAIR ACC			
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$109,384	\$106,627	\$142,180
Short-term investments	19,160	11,395	7,816
Accounts receivable, net	119,868	129,500	114,042
Inventories	73,629	86,456	79,054
Other current assets	23,199	26,521	27,979
Total current assets	345,240	360,499	371,071
Property and equipment, net	90,068	94,120	96,691
Other assets	569,274	577,453	578,543
Total assets	\$1,004,582	\$ 1,032,072	\$ 1,046,305
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities: Accounts payable	\$35,337	\$ 42,154	\$ 45,846
Accrued liabilities	94,351	99,534	108,027
Bank Loan	324	**** \$14	5,000
Deferred profits	3,986	9,387	5,112
Total current liabilities	133,674	151,075	163,985
Other liabilities	189,834	190,819	190,818
Long-term deferred income taxe	s 9,473	9,473	9,473
Stockholders' equity	671,601	680,705	682,029
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$1,004,582	\$ 1,032,072	\$ 1,046,305

Derived from the audited financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2005.

SOURCE Credence Systems Corporation

CONTACT: Judy A.E. Dale, Vice President, Marketing Communications and Investor Relations of Credence Systems Corporation, +1-408-635-4309, or fax, +1-408-635-4986, or judy_dale@credence.com

Contact Us | Site Credits | Site Map | Legal Notices | Privacy Policy | Training | Careers

© 2008 Credence Systems Corporation

EXHIBIT D

August 28, 2008

This will confirm today's final in person meet and confer regarding the issue of permission to disclose 'Highly-Confidential - Attorneys Eyes Only' documents to Burnie West and Gary Gillette ("Verigy's Proposed Experts") under the Stipulated Protective Order of August 29, 2007. The parties agree that Defendants' only objection to Plaintiff's disclosure to Verigy's Proposed Experts is that such disclosure violates section 2.12 of the Stipulated Protective Order because Verigy's Proposed Experts are past employees of Credence Systems Corporation, a competitor of Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc. Defendants have no other objections to such disclosure.

agreed Tim C Hall bor De fendants

EXHIBIT E

Document 299

Filed 08/28/2008

Page 22 of 35

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

water to the state of the state

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Filed 08/29/2007

Filed 08/28/2008

Page 2 of 14

PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 10, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order creates no entitlement to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and reflects the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

DEFINITIONS

- Party: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, 2.1 employees, consultants, retained experts, and outside counsel (and their support staff).
- 2.2 Disclosure or Discovery Material: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, or tangible things) that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.3 "Confidential" Information or Items: information (regardless of how generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under standards developed under F.R.Civ.P. 26(c).
- "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" Information or Items: 2.4 extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items" whose disclosure to another Party or nonparty would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.
- Receiving Party: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material 2.5 from a Producing Party.

4

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 2.6 Producing Party: a Party or non-party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- Designating Party: a Party or non-party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential — Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- Protected Material: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated 2.8 as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- Outside Counsel: attorneys who are not employees of a Party but who are 2.9. retained to represent or advise a Party in this action.
 - House Counsel: attorneys who are employees of a Party. 2.10
- Counsel (without qualifier): Outside Counsel and House Counsel (as well as 2.11 their support staffs).
- Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter 2.12 pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action and who is not a past or a current employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party's and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or a competitor of a Party's. This definition includes a professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with this litigation.
- Professional Vendors: persons or entities that provide litigation support 2.13 services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; etc.) and their employees and subcontractors.

SCOPE 3.

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that might reveal Protected Material.

edskielielielistä istäädelielisia kaltaniisiskoina

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

Document 28

Filed 08/29/2007

4. DURATION

Even after the termination of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. For a period of six months after the final termination of this action, this court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this protective order.

5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

- Party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified, or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process, or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties), expose the Designating Party to sanctions. If it comes to a Party's or a non-party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Party or non-party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.
- 5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a), below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced. Designation in conformity with this Order requires:
- (a) for information in documentary form: (apart from transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" at the top of each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected

A THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY O

Filed 08/28/2008

5

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY EYES ONLY").

A Party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order, then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") at the top of each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g. by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted (either "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS" EYES ONLY").

for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Party or non-party offering or sponsoring the testimony identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony, and further specify any portions of the testimony that qualify as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection, and when it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Party or non-party that sponsors, offers, or gives the testimony may invoke on the record (before the deposition or proceeding is concluded) a right to have up to 20 days to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"). Only those portions of the testimony that are

TO THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

Document 28

Filed 08/29/2007

Page 6 of 14

2

3

5 6

7. 8

9

11 12

13 14

.15 16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26 27

28

appropriately designated for protection within the 20 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. Transcript pages containing Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix to the top of each such page the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," as instructed by the Party or nonparty offering or sponsoring the witness or presenting the testimony.

- (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary, and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions, specifying whether they qualify as "Confidential" or as "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. If material is appropriately designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 Timing of Challenges. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a later significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. A Party that elects to initiate a challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient)

Filed 08/29/2007

Page 7 of 14

with counsel for the Designating Party. In conferring, the challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first.

6.3 Judicial Intervention. A Party that elects to press a challenge to a confidentiality designation after considering the justification offered by the Designating Party may file and serve a motion under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph and that sets forth with specificity the justification for the confidentiality designation that was given by the Designating Party in the meet and confer dialogue. The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Until the court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation.

7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a non-party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 11, below (FINAL DISPOSITION). Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

1	
2	
3	

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7.2 Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated CONFIDENTIAL only to:
- the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of (b) the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom (c) disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
 - the Court and its personnel; (d)
- court reporters, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom (e) disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure **(f)** is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A). Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.
 - the author of the document or the original source of the information. (g)
- Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES 7.3 ONLY" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" only to:

ALLE SANDERS OF THE S

The second secon

5

10

8

22

23

24

25

26

2728

- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- (b) Experts (as defined in this Order) (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, (2) who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), and (3) as to whom the procedures set forth in paragraph 7.4, below, have been followed;
 - (c) the Court and its personnel;
- (d) court reporters, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A); and
 - (e) the author of the document or the original source of the information.
- 7.4 Procedures for Approving Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or Items to "Experts"
- Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an "Expert" (as defined in this Order) any information or item that has been designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the specific HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume, (4) identifies the Expert's current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the Expert has received compensation for work in his or her areas of expertise or to whom the expert has provided professional services at any time during the preceding five years, and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation in connection with which the Expert has provided any professional services during the preceding five years.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) For the first 30 days after filing of the complaint, a Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding paragraph may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within three court days of delivering the request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Thirty one (31) days after filing of the complaint a Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding paragraph may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within seven (7) court days of delivering the request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objections must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.

A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with the Designating Party (through direct voice to voice dialogue) to try to resolve the matter by agreement. If no agreement is reached, the Party seeking to make the disclosure to the Expert may file a motion as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) seeking permission from the court to do so. Any such motion must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons for which the disclosure to the Expert is reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail and suggest any additional means that might be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration in which the movant describes the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and sets forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure. In any such proceeding the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN 8. OTHER LITIGATION

If a Receiving Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," the

Filed 08/29/2007

Page 11 of 14

Receiving Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing (by fax, if possible) immediately and in no event more than three court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order. The Receiving Party also must immediately inform in writing the Party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Protective Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order promptly to the Party in the other action that caused the subpoena or order to issue. The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena or order issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material — and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

9. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

10. FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5.

11. FINAL DISPOSITION

STANDA STANDARD STANDA

Document 28

Filed 08/29/2007

Page 12 of 14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within sixty days after the final termination of this action, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies. abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. With permission in writing from the Designating Party, the Receiving Party may destroy some or all of the Protected Material instead of returning it. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the sixty day deadline that identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or other forms of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION), above.

12. MISCELLANEOUS

- 12.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.
- 12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this

 Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or

 producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective

 Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of
 the material covered by this Protective Order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: August <u>24,</u> 2007

BERGESON, LLP

Shu W- Town

08/2		se 5:07-cv-04 Ise l 5:07 -cv- 9		Document 28 Document 28				Page 13			02 1	.54
			•				·					
					•	The second se						
		•	•	•	John	W Fowle						
	1				Atto	meys for P UGY US, I	laintiff NC.					
	2				-		. ,				ļ ·	
	3				•			•		•		
	4	Dated:	August <u>24</u> , 2	007	Mou	mt & Stoel	ker P.C.					
	5	•			•					•		
	6			•			•			1		
	7			•	•	1 min	27	There	inell	1.		
	8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ву:	1 000	-			-		
·· :	9				Dan	iel S. Mou	nt, Esq	•				
	10				Kev Atto	in M. Pasq nneys for I MI OMAR STEMS, IN	prinelli _l Defendar	isq. its				
	11				ROI SYS	MI ÓMAR STEMS, IN	MAYD C., and	er, sil Wesle	ICON T Y MAY	EST DER		
• • •	12		•									
•	13				٠.	*VIA FAC	STWTTF.					
	14			(AS MODI	FIED BY	Y THE C	OURT),					
	15	PURSI	UANT TO ST	TPULATION, II	1S SO 9	PERED),					
,	16			,	1 (•					
,	17	DATE	D: August 2	9, 2007	L	7	4					·
. •	18	iname of judge		R. Lloyd	L.	•					1.	
	19	i		Magistrate Judge	;			•				
•	20	O.M.		-					·			
	1				•			:		. '	-	
	21											
	22											
	23				•	• .						
	24				٠						1	
	25		•		÷							
	26		•			e e						
	27			•			•					
	. 28		•			-			. •			

µCase 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

Document 28

Filed 08/28/2008 Page 35 of 35 Filed 08/29/2007 Page 14 of 14

2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND
3	I,[print or type full name], of
4	[print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and
5	understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for
. 6	August 29, 2007 Verigy US, Inc. v. Mayder, et al., the Northern District of California on was issued August 29, 2007 Verigy US, Inc. v. Mayder, et al., insert formed name with
7	C07-04330 RMW (HRL) gasexand the number and unital sassigned to its by the count. I agree to comply with and to be
8	bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that
9	failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I
10	solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to
11	this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the
12	provisions of this Order.
13	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the
14	Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective
15	Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
16	I hereby appoint [print or type full name] of
17	[print or type full address and telephone number]
18	as my California agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings
19	related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.
20	Date:
21	City and State where sworn and signed:
22	Printed name: [printed name]
23	Signature: [signature]
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	13